

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/680,180 10/08/2003		Ernest Totino	21284-00040-US1	5416		
30678	7590 08/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LY BOVE LODGE & 1	JOHNSON, JONATHAN J				
SUITE 800 1990 M STF	REET NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, DC 20036-3425	1725	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/680,180 TOTINO ET AL.		· .				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
·.		Jonathan Jo	hnson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the o	over sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply aximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, a months after the mailing	36(a). In no eventy within the statuto will apply and will apply and will applicate the applicate th	, however, may a reply be ry minimum of thirty (30) d expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tation to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timel om the mailing date of this c NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims			•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 30-32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 30-32 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected	is/are withdravd. d. d. ed to.	wn from cons						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	r election rec	juirement.					
Application Papers					•			
9) The specification is objected to 10. The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11. The oath or declaration is object.	is/are: a) acce any objection to the ncluding the correct	epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. S if the drawing(s) is o	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 Cl	` .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Int	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the prior ternational Bureau	s have been s have been rity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applica ts have been recei 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>10/024,00</u> ved in this National				
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-8-03. 			Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informa Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/680,180

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2,713,196 (Brown). Brown teaches conducting a brazing operation under controlled atmosphere (col. 5, l. 33); said brazing operation being conducted in such a way as to establish a mechanical bond between at least one defined part of the support (abstract) and at least one defined part of the coating, when the coating comprises tantalum, the brazing material comprises nickel, chromium, silicon, or boron, or a mixture thereof, or a silver based material or when the coating comprises titanium the brazing material comprises silver or an alloy with titanium and when the coating comprises zirconium, the brazing material comprises an alloy with a base of zirconium, copper, or nickel, or a silver based material (col. 3, 30-75).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,180

Art Unit: 1725

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,413,651 (Yan). Yan teaches conducting a brazing operation under controlled atmosphere (col. 3, ll. 1-5); said brazing operation being conducted in such a way as to establish a mechanical bond between at least one defined part of the support (col. 3, ll. 10-21) and at least one defined part of the coating, when the coating comprises tantalum, the brazing material comprises nickel, chromium, silicon, or boron, or a mixture thereof, or a silver based material or when the coating comprises titanium the brazing material comprises silver or an alloy with titanium and when the coating comprises zirconium, the brazing material comprises an alloy with a base of zirconium, copper, or nick, or a silver based material (col. 3, ll. 30-65).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 30-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,702,177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

Application/Control Number: 10/680,180

Art Unit: 1725

claim 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,702,177 meets the limitation of claims 30-32 of the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725